Tutorial R package puniform

Robbie C.M. van Aert

Links to package on CRAN and GitHub

February 24, 2023





Publication bias

- Publication bias is "the selective publication of studies with a significant outcome"
- ▶ \approx 90% of main hypotheses are significant in psychology [1]

- But this is not in line with average statistical power (about 20-50%)
- Consequences:
 - Overestimation
 - False impression



Example

- Example meta-analysis by Cowlishaw et al. (2012) [2]
- Efficacy of cognitive behavior therapy (CBT) for treating pathological and problem gambling
- Participants in the experimental group received CBT and no treatment was given to participants in the control group
- Meta-analysis contains k = 7 standardized mean differences
- A positive effect size indicates smaller financial loss for the experimental group



Example: Forest plot

Fitting random-effects model (REML) using metafor [3]



```
## tau<sup>2</sup> (estimated amount of total heterogeneity): 0 (SE = 0.0346)
##
## Test for Heterogeneity:
## Q(df = 6) = 3.8971, p-val = 0.6906
```

P-uniform [4,5]

Main idea: p-values are uniformly distributed under the null-hypothesis

P-uniform [4,5]



 $\theta = 0.5$



 $\theta = -0.2$

 $\theta = -0.5$





P-uniform [4,5]

```
• Applied to gamble example (\hat{\mu} = 0.519):
```

```
install.packages("puniform") # Install "puniform" package
library(puniform) # Load "puniform" package
puniform(yi = dat$yi, vi = dat$vi, side = "right")
```

```
## Method: P
##
## Effect size estimation p-uniform
##
##
        est ci.lb ci.ub
                                L.0
                                                    ksig
                                           pval
##
      0.2178 -0.7867 0.6559 -0.672 0.2508
                                                       5
##
## ===
##
## Publication bias test p-uniform
##
##
       L.pb
               pval
##
       1.284
              0.0996
```

Drawbacks of *p*-uniform:

- Overestimation in case of heterogeneity in true effect size
- Not all available information is used (i.e., not efficient method)
- P-uniform* is an improvement over p-uniform because:
 - 1. It enables estimating and testing of heterogeneity in true effect size ($\tau^2)$
 - 2. Takes into account significant and nonsignificant effect sizes

Drawbacks of *p*-uniform:

- Overestimation in case of heterogeneity in true effect size
- Not all available information is used (i.e., not efficient method)
- P-uniform* is an improvement over p-uniform because:
 - 1. It enables estimating and testing of heterogeneity in true effect size ($\tau^2)$
 - 2. Takes into account significant and nonsignificant effect sizes

A function to apply p-uniform* is in the puniform package:

puni_star(yi = dat\$yi, vi = dat\$vi, side = "right") # Apply p-uniform*

P-uniform* [6]

• Applied to gamble example ($\hat{\mu} = 0.519$):

```
##
## Method: ML (k = 7; ksig = 5)
##
## Estimating effect size p-uniform*
##
##
        est
            ci.lb ci.ub
                                L.0
                                           pval
##
      0.3938 0.0593 0.7214
                                5.4141
                                           0.02
##
## ===
##
## Estimating between-study variance p-uniform*
##
       tau2 tau2.1b tau2.ub L.het
##
                                           pval
          0
                   0
                      0.0639
                                     0
##
                                              1
```

P-uniform* [6]

• Applied to gamble example ($\hat{\mu} = 0.519$):

```
##
## Method: ML (k = 7; ksig = 5)
##
##
  Estimating effect size p-uniform*
##
##
         est
            ci.lb ci.ub
                                 L.0
                                             pval
##
      0.3938 0.0593 0.7214
                                 5.4141
                                             0.02
##
##
  ===
##
## Estimating between-study variance p-uniform*
##
        tau2 tau2.1b tau2.ub L.het
##
                                             pval
                    0 0.0639
##
           0
                                      0
                                               1
```

Conclusions:

- The (average) effect size was considerably smaller when estimated with *p*-uniform and *p*-uniform*
- The null-hypothesis of no effect was rejected with p-uniform* but not with p-uniform

Shiny web applications are available for all four methods:
 p-uniform: https://rvanaert.shinyapps.io/p-uniform/
 p-uniform*: https://rvanaert.shinyapps.io/p-uniformstar/

Shiny web applications are available for all four methods:

- *p*-uniform: https://rvanaert.shinyapps.io/p-uniform/
- p-uniform*: https://rvanaert.shinyapps.io/p-uniformstar/
- Future developments
 - Add more intervals to treat effect sizes in these intervals differently
 - Allow for the inclusion of moderators

Meta-analyzing an original and replication study Hybrid method of meta-analysis [7]: hybrid() Snapshot Bayesian hybrid meta-analysis method [8]: snapshot()

- Meta-plot [9]: meta_plot()
- Correcting for Outcome Reporting Bias (CORB) method [10]

Thank you for your attention

www.robbievanaert.com

www.metaresearch.nl

Links to the puniform package on CRAN and GitHub

References I

- Fanelli D. "Positive" results increase down the hierarchy of the sciences. PLOS ONE 2010;5:e10068. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010068.
- [2] Cowlishaw S, Merkouris S, Dowling N, Anderson C, Jackson A, Thomas S. Psychological therapies for pathological and problem gambling. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2012. https: //doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008937.pub2.
- [3] Viechtbauer W. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. Journal of Statistical Software 2010;36:1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03.
- [4] van Assen MALM, van Aert RCM, Wicherts JM. Meta-analysis using effect size distributions of only statistically significant studies. Psychological Methods 2015;20:293–309. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0 000025.
- [5] van Aert RCM, Wicherts JM, van Assen MALM. Conducting meta-analyses on p-values: Reservations and recommendations for applying p-uniform and p-curve. Perspectives on Psychological Science 2016;11:713– 29. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745091616650874.
- [6] van Aert RCM, van Assen MALM. Correcting for publication bias in a meta-analysis with the p-uniform* method. Manuscript submitted for publication. 2023. https://doi.org/10.31222/osf.io/zqjr9.
- [7] van Aert RCM, van Assen MALM. Examining reproducibility in psychology: A hybrid method for combining a statistically significant original study and a replication. Behavior Research Methods 2018;50:1515–39. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0967-6.
- van Aert RCM, van Assen MALM. Bayesian evaluation of effect size after replicating an original study. PLOS ONE 2017;12:e0175302. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175302.
- [9] van Assen MALM, van den Akker OR, Augusteijn HEM, Bakker M, Nuijten MB, Olsson-Collentine A, et al. The meta-plot: A graphical tool for interpreting the results of a meta-analysis. Zeitschrift Für Psychologie 2023;231:65-78. https://doi.org/10.1027/2151-2604/a000513.
- [10] van Aert RCM, Wicherts JM. Correcting for outcome reporting bias in a meta-analysis: A meta-regression approach. Manuscript submitted for publication. 2021. https://doi.org/10.31222/osf.io/bn8vd.